Gain

CIL Strategy Consultants

European radiology services market

24 March 2026

Interviewee
Craig Abrahams

Market structure and organisation

Answer:
The UK radiology market is not best understood through a traditional fragmentation versus consolidation lens. Instead, it is fundamentally shaped by the structure of the National Health Service (NHS) compared to the private healthcare sector.

The NHS dominates healthcare delivery, with the vast majority of radiology services provided within publicly funded hospitals. These hospitals typically operate multiple imaging modalities in-house, including MRI, CT, X-ray, and ultrasound, often at scale and with several machines per modality.

Rather than a fragmented network of independent radiology clinics, a significant portion of private sector activity consists of companies providing outsourced services to the NHS. These include managed service providers such as InHealth and Alliance Medical, as well as operators of mobile imaging units. As a result, the market is structurally centralised around the NHS, with private players embedded into its ecosystem rather than operating independently.

Radiology modalities and service delivery

Answer:
Radiology services differ significantly by modality, which directly impacts market structure, economics, and provider types. The four core diagnostic modalities are MRI, CT, X-ray, and ultrasound, each with different cost bases, utilisation profiles, and clinical applications.

MRI and CT scanners are high-cost assets (c. €600k–€1m+) and require high utilisation to justify investment. They are therefore typically located in hospitals or large diagnostic centres. X-ray and ultrasound equipment are significantly cheaper and more flexible, enabling broader deployment across healthcare settings.

Standalone clinics in the UK are relatively uncommon, but where they exist, they are typically focused on MRI, as this modality offers the most attractive balance between pricing and demand. Ultrasound clinics exist in niche segments such as pregnancy imaging, but broader standalone diagnostic centres are rare.

PET scanners are generally excluded from this segment, as they are more closely associated with oncology treatment pathways rather than standard diagnostics and are typically hospital-based.

Overall, modality-level economics play a key role in shaping how and where radiology services are delivered.

Role of the NHS and private sector

Answer:
Private providers primarily generate revenue through contracts with NHS hospitals, which represent the dominant source of demand in the UK market.

There are two main commercial models. Mobile imaging units are typically charged on a day-rate basis, where the provider supplies equipment and staff, and the NHS is responsible for filling capacity with patients. Managed service contracts, by contrast, are longer-term (often multi-year) agreements under which providers operate entire imaging departments or diagnostic centres, with defined KPIs and recurring revenue streams.

Although the NHS is often perceived as a single entity, it is effectively a decentralised system of individual hospitals and trusts, meaning providers must contract locally.

Private healthcare represents a smaller share of the market (~10% of the population), with services delivered through hospital groups such as Spire Healthcare, Nuffield Health, and HCA Healthcare, funded via insurance or self-pay.

Private radiology clinics

Answer:
Standalone radiology clinics are limited in the UK compared to continental Europe. Where they exist, they are typically focused on MRI due to its relatively favourable economics, combining higher pricing with sufficient demand.

Some providers operate hybrid models, allowing private patients to access imaging capacity originally deployed for NHS contracts. This enables operators to increase utilisation and improve returns on expensive equipment.

Overall, the dominance of the NHS significantly reduces the need and opportunity for independent diagnostic networks, making the UK structurally different from markets such as Spain or Germany, where private clinics are more widespread.

Investment and M&A dynamics

Answer:
Investor interest is primarily concentrated on companies providing services to the NHS rather than standalone private clinics. These businesses benefit from relatively stable demand, long-term contracts, and high barriers to entry.

The sector is particularly attractive to infrastructure investors due to its capital-intensive nature and long asset lifecycles. Imaging equipment requires significant upfront investment, with payback periods typically around 6–7 years, which does not align well with traditional private equity holding periods.

As a result, investors tend to focus less on consolidation and more on diversification and platform expansion. This includes expanding into adjacent diagnostic services, increasing modality coverage, or transitioning from mobile units to permanent diagnostic centres to improve revenue visibility and stability.

Pure consolidation plays a more limited role, as scaling purely through additional equipment or contracts offers diminishing returns compared to strategic expansion.

Financial profile

Answer:
EBITDA margins of around ~20% are considered strong for companies providing services to the NHS. However, profitability can be volatile due to fluctuations in demand at the individual hospital level, as NHS usage can vary significantly over time.

The main cost drivers include labour (particularly radiographers and operational staff), equipment-related costs (including depreciation or leasing), maintenance and servicing, and central overheads.

Despite the relatively straightforward business model, profitability is highly dependent on utilisation rates. Underutilised equipment can quickly erode returns, while high utilisation can significantly enhance margins.

CapEx and equipment economics

Answer:
Radiology is a highly capital-intensive sector, with substantial upfront investment required for imaging equipment.

Typical equipment costs are:

- MRI: €900k–€1.2m
- CT: €700k–€900k
- X-ray: €90k–€140k
- Ultrasound: €60k–€100k

MRI and CT machines typically have a payback period of approximately 6–7 years and a useful life of around 10 years. X-ray and ultrasound machines tend to last longer (up to 12–15 years), although they generate lower revenue per unit.

Operators must also account for ongoing maintenance and replacement cycles, making CapEx planning a critical determinant of long-term returns.

Market drivers and headwinds

Answer:
Key growth drivers include increasing demand for diagnostic services, particularly due to long NHS waiting times, which are pushing more patients towards private options. There is also a growing acceptance of private healthcare, especially among younger populations who are more willing to pay for faster access.

However, the market faces several headwinds. NHS budgets remain constrained, limiting outsourcing growth, and demand can be volatile due to local decision-making within the NHS.

Additionally, reliance on a single dominant customer reduces pricing power and scalability, prompting many providers to explore diversification strategies.

Labour and teleradiology

Answer:
Labour shortages are a relevant constraint, particularly for radiographers, who operate imaging equipment. There is a structural shortage of trained personnel, which can limit capacity expansion.

In contrast, shortages of radiologists have been partially mitigated by the rise of teleradiology. This allows scan interpretation to be outsourced globally to providers such as Medica Group and Everlight Radiology.

This model improves efficiency, reduces bottlenecks, and enables faster turnaround times, making it a key enabler of scalability in the radiology value chain.

International expansion

Answer:
International expansion is challenging due to the highly localised nature of healthcare systems. Differences in regulation, reimbursement models, contracting structures, and clinical pathways make it difficult to replicate the UK model abroad.

As a result, most providers operate country-specific strategies, and cross-border expansion tends to be opportunistic rather than systematic.

This limits the ability to build pan-European platforms and reinforces the importance of strong local positioning within each market.