

European HR technology market

27 August 2026

Interviewee

Konstantin Kugler, Strategy & Transactions Partner, OMMAX



Market structure and competitive landscape

Question:

How is the European HR technology market structured, and how does it compare with the US?

Answer:

The market remains highly fragmented at product level, with many point solutions across the HR management value chain. This fragmentation exists at both product and country level. Western Europe has around 4,000 HR technology companies, and the DACH region alone had around 500 active vendors as of last year.

Fragmentation is highest in recruiting point solutions. Payroll is also very local, driven by differing regulatory and compliance requirements. Employee engagement, performance measurement and learning and development platforms remain fragmented as well.

Core HR platforms are the exception. These are typically suites and larger-scale platforms, and concentration is higher in the enterprise segment. This is structural: the enterprise customer base is limited and already well served by enterprise-grade solutions.

The US market is more concentrated. Europe has over 20 countries with different languages, labour laws and payroll systems, while the US is a single and far more homogeneous market despite its 50-plus states. These differences, together with broader cultural diversity, are the key drivers of European fragmentation.

Question:

Do vendors specialise by sector?

Answer:

Both models exist. As in software generally, some players are deliberately industry-agnostic and serve a broad customer base.

Others focus on specific subsectors. zvoove, a PE-backed platform that originated in Germany and is already broadly European, provides ERP for temporary staffing on an end-to-end basis. Other vendors focus on areas such as gastronomy and hospitality.

What vertical HR solutions have in common is that they aim to serve their vertical end-to-end, from recruiting through payroll to management. To a degree they function as vertical ERP systems, though with a focus on HR workflows.

Question:

Are customers consolidating onto integrated suites, or do point solutions retain a place?

Answer:

This is the best-of-suite versus best-of-breed question, and the answer varies by customer size.

Enterprise customers typically follow a best-of-suite approach, using platforms such as Workday, SAP SuccessFactors, Oracle and UKG to serve an international organisation end-to-end. They then complement the suite with best-of-breed solutions for particular workflows or countries.

Mid-market organisations, up to around 1,000 employees, tend to run more consolidated stacks, usually a single core HR system with some complementary solutions.

Entry-level customers more often combine separate point solutions. As they mature, they typically need a more capable end-to-end suite to manage the organisation, and consolidate their stack for efficiency.

It is difficult to generalise, as the outcome also depends on the country and which solutions are available locally. Point solutions retain a clear place in the market: they address a distinct use case at a lower price point, which makes it easier for them to gain a foothold.

M&A and consolidation

Question:

What is driving consolidation?

Answer:

Consolidation is picking up at ownership level rather than product level, driven by private equity investors and public HR platforms.

Activity was particularly high between 2019 and 2025. Public platforms such as Workday, ADP and UKG have been active in buy-and-build, as have PE-backed platforms such as P&I and others.

The objectives are platform scale and breadth of offering. Acquisitions allow platforms to serve more markets internationally, which matters where local bespoke solutions are required. They also broaden the product range to capture cross-sell potential within the existing client base, with ACV expansion as the typical driver, rather than serving single HRM modules only.

Question:

Do consolidation dynamics differ across segments?

Answer:

Differences are driven mainly by how local a solution needs to be.

Payroll has the highest localisation needs, driven by regulatory and compliance requirements. This gives it the highest roll-up intensity: vendors typically buy payroll solutions in each local market, as building them in-house takes too long and carries too much risk. Payroll is therefore a natural candidate for roll-up models.

Recruiting and point solutions are more scalable internationally because their workflows are more horizontal. Companies such as Personio internationalised early.

Workforce management sits in between. Products need to reflect local and sector-specific requirements, but customers are often multi-site organisations operating across geographies, so the product also needs to be internationally scalable. These vendors frequently combine a sector focus with international reach.

Customers and economics

Question:

What drives customer choice of provider?

Answer:

Five criteria dominate.

Functional fit comes first. The software must serve the specific use case and workflows, which range from narrow needs early in an organisation's development to broader requirements for managing a larger workforce or meeting sector-specific needs.

Security and compliance is critical for HR data, driven by GDPR and other regulatory requirements.

Integration is the third: how well the HR solution communicates with core ERP, finance and payroll systems, including DATEV in Germany. This needs to work more or less out of the box.

UX is becoming increasingly relevant, particularly for smaller and newer organisations, which expect cloud-native, web-based solutions with intuitive interfaces rather than more clunky legacy ERP interfaces.

Implementation and service quality is the fifth. Smaller organisations lack the capability to run larger integration projects and need solutions that work instantly. Larger organisations that configure the solution require implementation partners to support them.

Price plays a role but is not the key decision driver.

Question:

Do these criteria differ across segments?

Answer:

The criteria overlap, but their weighting differs.

For payroll, compliance and security dominate, along with integration with external authorities such as DATEV in Germany.

For point solutions, UX and ease of adoption are key. Organisations often run five to seven separate point solutions, and tools that are clunky to use reduce adoption.

For larger suites, implementation and service quality is most important. The more a solution covers and the more it must be configured to specific workflows, the more implementation capability matters.

Question:

How do vendors price their solutions?

Answer:

Most solutions use a per-seat or per-employee SaaS fee. This is sometimes combined with a base fee for core modules, with a per-seat or per-employee fee on top.

The pricing logic varies by segment. Point solutions are typically priced on active users, tied to the people actually using the software.

For payroll, workforce management and core HR tools, pricing is typically based on the number of employees in the organisation rather than the user base. The two may not overlap: a payroll system may have only three users managing a workforce of 500 or 1,000. Payroll is sometimes priced per payslip, which makes it more function-related.

Question:

How sticky are customers, and does this vary by segment?

Answer:

Switching costs vary by segment.

They are higher for core HR, payroll and workforce management tools. These are often configured to specific workflows and hold a large share of employee data, functioning as the system of record for HR processes.

Point solutions used for specific processes such as recruiting, onboarding, employee management and performance management typically have somewhat lower retention rates. This is why UX and ease of adoption matter more in this segment, as they reduce the friction of using the tools.

Question:

Are buyers scrutinising the return on HR technology investment more closely?

Answer:

This depends on the size of the organisation and the use cases a solution needs to serve. For workflows such as payroll there is a basic operational need, so a company either buys a solution or outsources to an HR or payroll partner, a tax advisor or an accountant, in which case it becomes a services purchase.

Beyond that, the ROI perspective is central, and it becomes more relevant as AI and agentic solutions enter the space.

Vendors take different approaches to demonstrating ROI, but this is at an early stage. AI dynamics are only beginning to unfold and there is limited data available, so claims are often still marketing-led. Substantiating them will be necessary to stand out as a solution without over-promising.

This also needs to be reflected in pricing and revenue models that are more transparent and more plannable. With AI and token costs, it is often not transparent to clients how costs scale, which is a further concern alongside ROI.

Question:

What drives profitability for HR technology vendors?

Answer:

Profitability depends partly on how efficiently the organisation is operated internally, where vendors have a significant lever.

On the revenue side, pricing is probably the lever with the highest impact on profitability: how the offering is packaged and bundled, what sits in the core offering versus extended versions, and how the seat model works. These drive pricing effectiveness and therefore profitability.

Some solutions are perceived as more expensive than others, which turns into a question of value: whether the solution delivers the return, or is simply expensive.

AI and technology

Question:

Where is AI genuinely changing HR technology today, and where is it still early?

Answer:

AI has already landed in recruiting: candidate sourcing and matching, CV screening, candidate ranking, early-stage assessments and interview documentation.

Onboarding has gained more self-service components. This feeds into workforce management, where staffing and scheduling are becoming more automated, because the underlying data exists and AI capabilities support workforce optimisation.

Payroll is highly regulatory and compliance-driven but also highly standardised, giving it a high degree of automation potential. It nevertheless remains at an early stage.

Human involvement remains necessary across these processes and will continue to be. Payroll cycles need to be approved and checked for accuracy. In recruiting, judgment is hard to automate and will still be required, because humans hire humans and cultural fit matters. Even so, the level of automation is increasing across all stages.

Employee engagement and performance measurement products are also becoming more AI-augmented. Higher efficiency gains and further digitalisation are expected here, which should benefit the workforce, as these areas were often underserved: HR and people and culture teams did not have the time or capacity to cover all aspects.

Question:

Is AI changing pricing and revenue models?

Answer:

AI monetisation is at a very early stage, because the AI features and modules themselves are early. The pace is picking up, but there is no clarity yet on how best to monetise AI or what the next-generation revenue models will be.

What is currently observable, in HR and in other software sectors, is hybrid pricing: a SaaS fee for the core product and system of record, combined with AI. The first step is bundling AI features into the SaaS fee to justify a higher per-seat or per-employee price on the basis of richer functionality.

More hybrid monetisation is expected over the coming years, including pricing for AI agent and workflow usage and more transaction-based pricing. Outcome-based fees would be the final stage, but this is still some way off, as vendors need the data to prove outcomes.

The direction of travel is that pricing follows the work rather than the humans using an interface. The more automated workflows become, the more the work itself will be priced instead of individual users. This represents a step change.

Question:

Does this differ across segments?

Answer:

It is hard to tell at this stage, as it remains early.

Core HR solutions are systems of record and will remain so. Core data needs to sit in a system of authority, and this will typically continue to carry more traditional SaaS fees, increasingly combined with AI fees.

Point solutions are less system-of-record and serve more horizontal workflows. They may transition into a new pricing setup earlier, based on how the software itself evolves.

This is a multi-year timeline. Product transformation comes first, and pricing and revenue models follow.

Question:

Do buyers consolidating onto fewer vendors present a displacement risk for point solution providers?

Answer:

Yes. This is the discussion around AI defensibility and displacement risk. For horizontal point solutions there is currently higher scrutiny in purchasing and buying behaviour, specifically around whether the solution genuinely adds value and delivers the return.

This does not mean companies will develop these solutions themselves. They may cover simpler workflows in-house, which delays the point at which they buy a solution, but it does not entirely disrupt categories such as employee management, or wipe out recruiting and onboarding point solutions.

The greater risk is that the larger suites integrate these modules more deeply. However, that takes time, and it is often hard for suite providers to solve everything to the same standard. The trade-off is that a suite covers all modules, while best-of-breed solutions cover their specific area in more depth and with a more intuitive UX.

This will remain a business decision between consolidating vendors and obtaining better functionality, UX and service in a given area. AI does not give suite providers an unfair advantage here, as every vendor now has the same toolkit to develop software faster. The differentiator becomes execution: whether developer and product teams are better and faster than others.

Regulation and ESG

Question:

Does increasing regulatory complexity push adoption of HR technology?

Answer:

Yes. Regulation and corporate compliance are key adoption and growth drivers across all software sectors.

Increasing regulatory requirements, such as the AI Act, AI transparency rules and anti-discrimination law, need to be reflected in software and therefore in the products companies use, because companies need to be compliant.

This varies by country, particularly between EU and non-EU markets, and also by the speed of national enactment. Some countries are more advanced than others. The e-invoicing directive is a comparable case: set at EU level but implemented in national law at different speeds. The same holds true for other acts and rules.

Question:

What are the main social topics in this sector?

Answer:

Anti-discrimination law is one dimension, and it extends the regulatory picture.

Beyond what is prescribed by law, ESG compliance needs are often voluntary rather than obligatory, so requirements function more as recommendations. Companies therefore have greater flexibility over how far they adhere and how much they invest. The same applies to software vendors.

ESG is regarded as relevant, but attention at the moment is more focused on the impact of AI, specifically on ensuring that software solutions take into account how AI affects different HR workflows. This is higher on the agenda as of now. That does not make ESG less relevant; the dynamics are simply different.

Market size, growth and outlook

Question:

How large is the European HR technology market and how fast is it growing?

Answer:

The total European HRM market is estimated at EUR 15 to 17 billion for 2025. Of this, around EUR 8 to 10 billion is software, with the remainder in external and managed HR services.

The market is expected to grow at a CAGR of 8 to 10 per cent until the end of the decade, broadly continuing historic growth rates. It is driven mainly by enterprise solutions and comprises the roughly 4,000 HR technology companies in the market.

The DACH software market is estimated at EUR 2 billion for 2025 and is expected to reach around EUR 3.4 to 3.5 billion by 2030, a CAGR of 11 to 12 per cent.

Question:

Which segments are expected to grow fastest?

Answer:

Core HR solutions will continue to grow healthily, broadly in line with the market.

Talent management is expected to grow above market, as it has historically. This covers everything that follows once an employee is onboarded, including education, training and upskilling to ensure the workforce uses current technology and improves productivity. AI reinforces its relevance. CAGRs here can approach 15 per cent.

Recruiting and talent acquisition is expected to grow below the market rate. AI is expected to reduce hiring needs at least in the short term, with organisations more frequently questioning whether additional workforce is required, which in turn affects software demand.

Question:

What are the most important positive drivers going forward?

Answer:

The cloud transition is still only midway through and will remain a key growth driver at least until the end of the decade, as organisations migrate from legacy on-premise setups to modern cloud solutions. This migration is also a precondition for fully using agentic AI solutions.

AI itself will be a growth driver until the end of the decade, as will regulation and regulatory compliance, including compliance associated with AI.

The level of digitalisation in SMEs remains low, so basic software adoption represents genuine white-space growth.

More nuanced drivers depend on the vendors themselves: new modules, new workflows and new functionality. A further driver is how vendors enable workforces to cope with AI transformation and AI change. Supporting this through the HR function will be critical to whether AI transformation in organisations succeeds, and this is expected to drive growth and new products.

Question:

What are the most important negative drivers going forward?

Answer:

Recruiting is cyclical and depends on the overall health and strength of the economy. Many economies and organisations are currently cautious, partly driven by AI. Hiring is expected to remain subdued in the short term, meaning the next one to two years, as executives and decision makers work out how AI is transforming their business models and workflows and what this means for talent needs.

Payroll is cyclical in a related way, as it depends on workforce levels. If workforces decline, the need for payroll could decrease slightly.

Overall, the tailwinds outweigh the headwinds, which is reflected in the expected CAGRs going forward.